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What do Automated Border Controls do?

Automated Border Controls (ABC): Automated systems which:

- authenticate the e-MRTD,
- establish that the passenger is the rightful holder of the document (e.g. through facial recognition),
- query border control records and
- automatically determine eligibility for border crossing according to pre-defined rules

(Frontex 2012)
Automated Border Controls in Europe

As of 2013, 288 operating ABC gates installed in over 13 EU Member States (Frontex)
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ABC and the Law

- External Border Control in the EU regulated by Schengen Borders Code (SBC).
- One of the required checks is the identity check on the basis of a travel document.
- According to the SBC, a visual comparison of the picture on the travel document and the face of an EU/EEA/CH passenger suffices.
- E-Passport Regulation allows automated verification of biometric features at borders, but does not amend SBC. Used at many ABC solutions in the EU.
- ABC not regulated by law, currently not mentioned in the SBC.
ABC and the Law

ABC processes biometrics to verify *automatically* the identity of passengers.

**Biometrics**
- Sensitivity.
- Automated Processing = interference with privacy and Data Protection.

**ABC**
- Purposes?
- What processing is necessary and proportionate?

**DP principles**
- Accuracy.
- Data minimization.
- Transparency and accountability.
- Purpose limitation and function creep.
Function Creep

Data availability, e.g. Storage

- (Biometric) data not deleted after crossing the border and/or creation of some RTP databases (also in the RTP in Smart Borders Package). Or data re-use at the e-Gate (police database search with biometrics presented for verification). Biometrics from chip can be accessed also during the manual check.

Interoperability

- Between databases created in the context of ABC and other (e.g. police) databases. Synergies between proposed RTP and EES and SIS II, VIS, etc.
- Biometrics become universal (interconnection) key between nationals and EU databases.

Law-enforcement access

- EURODAC, proposed EES: innocent persons under general suspicion. Function creep?
- Consequences of mismatches?
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Stakeholder opinions 1: ABCs can provide a consistent and secure identity verification process

“More secure processing of a person's identity is a huge benefit, in terms of personal economy, in terms of social convenience… Without this you can do very little”

- Increased security
  » Especially with introduction of multi-modal biometric systems (e.g. for possible future Smart Borders package)
  » Enhance privacy – by preventing identity theft and the usage of false identity documents
- Non-intrusive (i.e. through use of facial image)
- Other fundamental rights: non-discrimination
Stakeholder opinions 2: ABC may be problematic in certain situations

“What is secured enough? Because 100% security will come at a certain cost of other social and ethical issues”

- Fallibility of technology: false positives, interference (e.g. “skimming”), forgery
- Different cultures of privacy (TCN and European)
- Other fundamental rights concerns: child protection (e.g. unaccompanied minors), discrimination, access to remedy

- **Central concern**: not with the technology of ABC itself, but rather its use in broader systems or for other policy objectives
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ABCs & Citizens: Usability Issues

- At the moment non-use is still an issue with ABCs. Reasons:
  - People have not heard of e-gates,
  - They don’t know they have an e-passport,
  - They can’t find e-gates,
  - People prefer to choose the familiar over the new,
  - There are excluded groups,
  - The benefits not clear.

- E-gates are under development. There are still many problems related to usability of systems and lack of harmonization. Passengers experience service failure due to technical or human error. Sometimes the data on the e-passports is of insufficient quality.
ABCs & Citizens: Privacy Issues

- Privacy concerns related to biometrics are seldom mentioned by passengers when they talk about current ABCs.
  - People are unaware what data gets stored,
  - how long data is stored for,
  - and who has access to the data.
  - But people assume the worst.

- However, when discussing future scenarios for border control, passengers become worried about intrusive technologies.
  - Function creep is a worry: if it becomes possible to ‘read’ biometrics from a distance (corridor scenario), where else will this be used?
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## Conclusions
Conclusions/Solutions

- Legal, administrative and technical solutions and safeguards. Proper necessity and proportionality assessment.

- Stakeholder and citizen opinions vary, largely based on the larger context within which ABCs are foreseen to be used.
Thank you very much for your attention!

Diana Dimitrova
E-mail: Diana.Dimitrova@law.kuleuven.be

Maegan Hendow
E-mail: Maegan.Hendow@icmpd.org

Anne-Marie Oostveen
E-mail: anne-marie.oostveen@oii.ox.ac.uk

The work has been supported by the FastPass Project. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement nr 312583. This publication only reflects the author's view and the European union is not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein. All document contained therein cannot be copied, reproduced or modified in the whole or in the part for any purpose without written permission from the FastPass Coordinator with acceptence of the Project Consortium.